

	Case Name: <b>Nursing Home Noordhinder</b>	Sector	Construction (Commercial Building)
	<b>OR-AS</b> Operations Research - Applications and Solutions <a href="http://www.or-as.be">www.or-as.be</a> <a href="mailto:info@or-as.be">info@or-as.be</a>	<b>Baseline Schedule</b> Schedule with resources Schedule with costs	<b>Risk Analysis</b> Random simulation One of nine std. scenarios User defined distributions
Submitted by	Nathan Goddefroy		
Date	December 22, 2011		
File Name	C2011-01 Nursing Home Noordhinder.p2x	<b>Project Control</b> Automatic tracking Tracking based on user input	

## 1. Project description

Project authenticity

The construction of a multi-facility five-storey nursing home in Knokke-Heist (Belgium) housing one hundred residents with different care needs.

The project consists of activity, resource and cost data that were obtained directly from the actual project owner.

## 2. Project properties

### 2.1. Baseline Schedule

General	
# Activities	153
Planned Duration (PD)	766 days*
Budget At Completion (BAC)	4,679,795 €
Renewable Resources	12
Consumable Resources	-

\* standard eight-hour working days

Network topology	
Serial/Parallel (SP)	61%
Activity Distribution (AD)	65%
Length of Arcs (LA)	26%
Topological Float (TF)	6%

### 2.2. Risk Analysis

Random simulation by ProTrack was performed using the default symmetric triangular risk distribution profiles.

	Cost sensitivity		
	avg [%]	std dev [%]	skew [-]
CRI-r	6.8	9.9	4.1
CRI-rho	25.5	21.0	0.4
CRI-tau	48.1	42.0	0.3

	Resource sensitivity		
	avg [%]	std dev [%]	skew [-]
CRI-r	21.2	22.6	2.4
CRI-rho	18.9	22.7	2.8
CRI-tau	13.2	18.5	3.0

	Time sensitivity		
	avg [%]	std dev [%]	skew [-]
CI	58.0	45.4	-0.3
SI	70.4	37.9	-0.8
SSI	4.7	8.2	2.2
CRI-r	8.0	7.8	1.4
CRI-rho	16.8	16.3	1.2
CRI-tau	28.3	33.4	1.5

### 2.3. Project Control

### 2.3.1. Simulated forecasting accuracy

The accuracy of time and cost forecasting methods has been evaluated based on Monte Carlo simulation runs using the risk profiles described in section “2.2. Risk Analysis”. Based on these risk profiles, the Mean Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE) and Mean Percentage Error (MPE) have been calculated to evaluate the expected accuracy of the time and cost predictions, EAC(t) and EAC, respectively.

Simulated EAC(t) accuracy		
method - PF	MAPE [%]	MPE [%]
PV - 1	4.5	-3.1
PV - SPI	5.4	-1.6
PV - SCI	5.4	-1.2
ED - 1	4.5	-3.4
ED - SPI	5.4	-1.6
ED - SCI	5.4	-1.4
ES - 1	3.5	-3.2
ES - SPI(t)	3.8	-1.5
ES - SCI(t)	3.9	-1.2

Simulated EAC accuracy		
method (PF)	MAPE [%]	MPE [%]
1	0.2	-0.1
CPI	0.4	0.1
SPI	1.7	1.3
SPI(t)	1.7	1.1
SCI	2.2	1.5
SCI(t)	2.1	1.3
0.8 CPI + 0.2 SPI	0.7	0.4
0.8 CPI + 0.2 SPI(t)	0.6	0.3

According to the MAPE values<sup>1</sup> the best performance for time forecasting can be expected from the Earned Schedule methods. For cost forecasting the unweighted and CPI-weighted methods, or even the two methods using a composite performance factor, should yield the best results.

### 2.3.2. Tracking description

The user has not performed any project control and therefore no tracking periods have been defined. Tracking periods can now be generated automatically by ProTrack or by manually inputting tracking data period by period.

---

<sup>1</sup> The MAPE gives the best indication for the forecast accuracy (the lower the MAPE, the more accurate the method) since all deviations from the targeted real duration (real cost) are cumulated, whereas for the MPE underestimates can be compensated by overestimates and vice versa, possibly leading to an overly positive evaluation of a certain method. However, the MPE can provide useful information about the nature of the deviations, i.e. does the method rather underestimate or overestimate the real duration (real cost)?